

120081781

PG. 103

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Richard Glossip, et al.,  
PLAINTIFFS,

VS.

CASE NO. CN-14-666-F

Randy Chandler, et al.,  
DEFENDANTS.

WADE LAY, PLAINTIFF - MOVANT

CAPITAL CASE

IN RE FILING TO INFORM THE COURT  
WADE LAY DOES NOT OPPOSE OR OBJECT  
TO MISS RHONDA KEMP AS NEXT-FRIEND  
OR THE MOTION FOR NEXT-FRIEND  
BY ATTORNEYS AT THE F.P.D. WORK.  
NEXT FRIEND SHOULD BE GRANTED.  
THE REASONS HAVE BEEN ADEQUATELY DIS-  
PLAYED TO THIS COURT.

DATE: 10/13/2021  
PDV-SL

WADE GREGORY LAY #516263  
OKLAHOMA STATE PENITENTIARY  
P.O. Box 97  
MCHESTER, OK. 74502

U.S. COURT OF  
APPEALS  
10TH CIRCUIT  
2021 OCT 18 AM 11:28


pg. 2 of 3

THIS U.S. COURT HAS MISCONSTRUED WADE LAY'S  
RESPONSE WHEN IT RULES THAT LAY OBJECTS  
TO THE NEXT FRIEND MOTION OR PRESENCE  
OF MISS RHONDA KEMP AS NEXT FRIEND.  
WADE LAY JUST SIMPLY STATES: THAT AN  
EVIDENTIARY HEARING IS ABSOLUTELY  
IMPERATIVE IN SUCH A DETERMINATION.

NOTHING MORE NEEDS TO BE SAID, BEYOND,  
IF THE CONSPIRACY PROMOTED TO EXIST BY WADE  
LAY DOES EXIST, LAY DESERVES A NEW TRIAL;  
IF THE CONSPIRACY AS PROMOTED IS DELUSION,  
THAN LAY IS SUFFERING FROM A SEVERE  
MENTAL ILLNESS AND NEXT FRIEND IS THE  
ONLY APPROPRIATE OUTCOME, FOLLOWED

BY AN OPEN PUBLIC FORUM TO DETERMINE  
THE TRUTH !

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED  
WADE LATH A. D.S.P.



P.O. BOX 97

10/13/2021

MCLESTER, OK 74502